

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bect 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,781	12/30/2003	Purushottam Das Agrawal	2004B007 1080	
7:	590 02/02/2005	EXAMINER		
MANDI MIL		GORR, RACHEL F		
PO BOX 2149	L LAW TECHNOLOGY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BAYTOWN, T	ΓX 77520-2149		1711	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					- 100 F			
		AI	oplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		1	0/749,781	AGRAWAL ET AL.				
		E	kaminer	Art Unit				
			achel F. Gorr	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Respo	onsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .						
,	nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Pa	pers .			<b>、</b> ·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Rei	ferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information I	offsperson's Patent Drawing Review Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D		52)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/749,781

**Art Unit: 1711** 

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Morris, Sahara or Matsumoto.

Morris discloses blends of polyurethane and ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer in the amount of the claims (see claim 2).

Sahara discloses blends of polyurethane and ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer (see example 4).

Matsumoto discloses blends of 40 wt. % polyurethane and ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer (see example 11-3).

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zabrocki.
- 5. Zabrocki discloses in examples 4, 6, 9, 12 and 14 blends of polyethylene, polyurethane and ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer. These examples differ from the claims by using polyethylene versus polypropylene.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,781 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711 .

6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use polypropylene because Zabrocki teaches polypropylene as equivalent to polyethylene in the blend (col. 3, lines 51-52).

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Patel reference has been cited for showing blends of polyurethane, polypropylene and ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer (see claim 2).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 571-272-1072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RACHEL GORR
PRIMARY EXAMINER